

Lecture 7

Lecturer: Damek Davis

Scribe: Jianqiu Wang

1 Review

Last time we talked about normal cone of polyhedra:

$$N_{\{x|Ax \leq b\}}(x) = \{A^T y | y \geq 0, y^T(b - Ax) = 0\}$$

$$N_{\{y|y \geq 0, A^T y = c\}}(y) = \{-b | (\exists x) Ax \leq b, y^T(b - Ax) = 0\}$$

We also proved strong duality in feasible case.

2 Strong Duality (Continued)

Lemma 1 Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, suppose that $p^* = \sup \{c^T x | x \in Q(A, b)\}$ is finite, then $\exists x^* \in Q(A, b)$, so that $p^* = c^T x^*$

Proof: Let $S_1 = \{x | c^T x = p^*\}$ and $S_2 = Q(A, b)$. Suppose that $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. We have the following claim:

Claim 2 $S_1 - S_2 = \{x - \bar{x} | c^T x = p^*, A\bar{x} \leq b\}$ is closed.

We will prove this claim in the next lecture.

Thus by Separating Hyperplane Theorem:

$$\exists (\hat{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \hat{b} \in \mathbb{R}), \text{ s.t. } \sup_{x \in S_1} \hat{a}^T x < \hat{b} < \inf_{y \in S_2} \hat{a}^T y.$$

We know $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x_\varepsilon \in S_2$, s.t. $p^* - \varepsilon \leq c^T x_\varepsilon \leq p^*$. Because $S_1 \subset \{x | \hat{a}^T x \leq \hat{b}\}$, we have $\text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, S_1) \geq \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, \{x | \hat{a}^T x \leq \hat{b}\})$ (where $\text{dist}(x, S) = \|x - P_S(x)\|$ denotes the distance of a point to a closed convex set S).

We leave following two conclusions as exercises ($x_+ := \max\{x, 0\}$)

1. $P_{S_1}(x) = x - \frac{c^T x - p^*}{\|c\|^2} c$;
2. $P_{\{x | \hat{a}^T x \leq \hat{b}\}}(x) = x - \frac{(\hat{a}^T x - \hat{b})_+}{\|\hat{a}\|^2} \hat{a}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, S_1) &= \|x_\varepsilon - P_{S_1}(x_\varepsilon)\| \\ &= \|x_\varepsilon - \left(x_\varepsilon - \frac{c^T x_\varepsilon - p^*}{\|c\|^2} c\right)\| \\ &= \frac{\|c^T x_\varepsilon - p^*\|}{\|c\|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|c\|}, \end{aligned}$$

and, by a similar argument,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, \{x | \hat{a}^T x \leq \hat{b}\}) &= \|x_\varepsilon - P_{\{x | \hat{a}^T x \leq \hat{b}\}}(x_\varepsilon)\| \\ &= \frac{|\hat{a}^T x_\varepsilon - \hat{b}|}{\|\hat{a}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, we have

$$0 < \inf_{x \in S_2} \frac{|\hat{a}^T x - \hat{b}|}{\|\hat{a}\|} \leq \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{|\hat{a}^T x_\varepsilon - \hat{b}|}{\|\hat{a}\|} = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, \{x | \hat{a}^T x \leq \hat{b}\}) \leq \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, S_1) \leq \inf_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\|c\|} = 0$$

We have reached a contradiction, so

$$\exists x^* \in Q(A, b) \text{ s.t. } c^T x^* = p^*.$$

□

Theorem 3 (*Strong Duality Infeasible Case*) Consider the linear programs:

$$p^* = \max(c^T x | Ax \leq b), \quad d^* = \min(b^T y | A^T y = c, y \geq 0)$$

3. $d^* = \infty$ and primal is unbounded and $p^* = \infty$,

4. $p^* = -\infty$ and dual is unbounded and $d^* = -\infty$

Proof of 3:

(a) Suppose $d^* = \infty$ and the primal is feasible. If $\exists x^* \in Q(A, b)$, s.t. $c^T x^*$ is maximal, then $c \in N_{Q(A, b)}(x^*) = \{A^T y | y \geq 0, y^T(b - Ax^*) = 0\}$. Any y that satisfies $c = A^T y, y \geq 0$ is feasible for the dual. This contradicts the infeasibility of the dual. Thus $p^* = \infty$ and $Q(A, b)$ is unbounded.

(b) If $Q(A, b)$ is unbounded, and $p^* = \infty$, then by weak duality theorem, $d^* \geq p^* = \infty$ □

Proof of 4: We leave it as an exercise. □

3 Consequence of Strong Duality

Theorem 4 (*Theorem of Alternatives*) Exactly one of the following hold:

1. $\exists x, \text{ s.t. } Ax \leq b,$
2. $\exists y, \text{ s.t. } A^T y = 0, b^T y < 0, y \geq 0.$

Proof: We firstly prove 1 and 2 cannot hold simultaneously. If x satisfies 1 and y satisfies 2, then $0 = y^T(Ax) \leq y^T b < 0$.

Secondly, suppose 1 is false, then $\max\{s | Ax + s\mathbf{1} \leq b, s \leq 0\}$ always has a solution (we are maximizing a negative number). Write this program in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ s \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This linear program has an optimal solution (x^*, s^*) and optimal value $s^* < 0$. By strong duality, the dual

$$\begin{aligned} & \min b^T y \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{bmatrix} A^T & 0 \\ \mathbf{1}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \quad y \geq 0, t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

has an optimal solution (y^*, t^*) with optimal value $b^T y^* = s^* < 0$ and $A^T y^* = 0, y^* \geq 0$.

Now suppose 2 is false, then 1 cannot be false (otherwise 2 would be true), hence 1 is true. \square

4 Sentivity Analysis and Value Function

Definition 1 (*Maximal Value Function*) $v(u) = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b + u\}$.

We have following two natural questions:

1. Can we bound the value function in terms of $v(0)$? If $v(u)$ is particularly expensive to compute, knowing a bound on it in terms of $v(0)$ can help us determine whether it might be worth it to re-solve the linear program.
2. What is the rate of change, i.e., the derivative of v ?

Lemma 5 *Suppose $v(0)$ exists and $x^*(0) \in Q(A, b)$ satisfies $c^T x^*(0) = p^*$. Let $\text{dom}(v) := \{u \mid v(u) > -\infty\}$. Then following three hold:*

1. $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^m, v(u) < \infty$;
2. v is concave;
3. v is piecewise linear.

Proof of 1: Since $x^*(0)$ exists, we know $\exists y_0 \geq 0$, s.t. $A^T y_0 = c$ (by strong duality), so $V(u) = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b + u\} \leq \min\{(b + u)^T y \mid y \geq 0, A^T y = c\} \leq (b + u)^T y_0 < \infty$ (by weak duality). \square

Proof of 2: Let $u_1, u_2 \in \text{dom}(v)$ and let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. By strong duality,

$$\begin{aligned} v(\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2) &= \min\{(b + \lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2)^T y \mid y \geq 0, A^T y = c\} \\ &= \min\{\lambda(b + u_1)^T y + (1 - \lambda)(b + u_2)^T y \mid y \geq 0, A^T y = c\} \\ &\geq \lambda \min\{(b + u_1)^T y \mid y \geq 0, A^T y = c\} + (1 - \lambda) \min\{(b + u_2)^T y \mid y \geq 0, A^T y = c\} \\ &= \lambda v(u_1) + (1 - \lambda)v(u_2). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of 3: By the results of Recitation 4, we have, for all $u \in \text{dom}(v)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(u) &= \min\{(b + u)^T y \mid A^T y = c, y \geq 0\} \\ &= \min\{(b + u)^T y_k \mid y_1, \dots, y_E \text{ are extreme points of } \{y \mid A^T y = c, y \geq 0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

\square